AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY SEPTEMBER 5, 2007 AMENDED IN SENATE MAY 24, 2007 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 30, 2007 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 9, 2007

SENATE BILL

No. 974

Introduced by Senator Lowenthal
(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member De La Torre)
(Coauthors: Senators Kehoe, Kuehl, Migden, and Steinberg)
(Coauthors: Assembly Members Carter, DeSaulnier, Eng, Hancock, and Karnette Karnette, and Solorio)

February 23, 2007

An act to add Article 10 (commencing with Section 63049.70) to Chapter 2 of Division 1 of Title 6.7 of the Government Code, to amend An act to amend and renumber Section 1760 of, to add a heading to Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 1720) of, and to add Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 1740) to, Part 2 of Division 6 of, the Harbors and Navigation Code, relating to ports, and making an appropriation therefor.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 974, as amended, Lowenthal. Ports: congestion relief: environmental air pollution mitigation: regulatory fee.

(1) Existing law regulates the operation of ports and harbors.

This bill would require the Ports of Los Angeles, Long Beach, and Oakland to collect a user fee on the owner of container cargo moving through the Port of Los Angeles, the Port of Long Beach, or the Port of Oakland at a rate of \$30 per twenty-foot equivalent unit (TEU).

 $SB 974 \qquad \qquad -2-$

The bill would require the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach (San Pedro Bay Ports) to transmit ½ of the funds derived from imposition of the fee to the Southern California Port San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Trust Fund, which the bill would establish in the State Treasury, and ½ to the Southern California Port San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Relief Trust Fund, which funds the bill would San Pedro Bay Ports would be required to establish in the State Treasury. The bill would require the Port of Oakland to transmit ½ of the funds derived from imposition of the fee to the Northern California Port Port of Oakland Congestion Relief Trust Fund, which the bill would establish in the State Treasury, and ½ to the Northern California Port Port of Oakland Mitigation Relief Trust Fund, which funds the bill would Port of Oakland would be required to establish in the State Treasury.

The bill would require the moneys transmitted to the Southern California Port San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Trust Fund and the Northern California Port Port of Oakland Congestion Relief Trust Fund to be available, upon appropriation, for expenditure by the California Transportation Commission exclusively for the purposes of funding projects that improve the flow and efficiency of container cargo to and from those ports, and funding the administrative costs of this program. The bill would prohibit moneys deposited in those funds from being loaned or transferred to, or allocated or appropriated in any other way to, the General Fund general fund of specified local entities. The bill would prohibit the commission from using the funds to construct, maintain, or improve highways, with certain exceptions.

The bill would require the moneys transmitted to the Southern California Port San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Relief Trust Fund and the Northern California Port Port of Oakland Mitigation Relief Trust Fund to be available, upon appropriation, for expenditure by the State Air Resources Board to develop a list of projects to mitigate environmental air pollution caused by the movement of container cargo to and from those ports, and for the administration of this program. The bill would prohibit moneys deposited in those funds from being loaned or transferred to, or allocated or appropriated in any other way to, the General Fund general fund of specified local entities.

The bill would establish a state-mandated local program by imposing these additional duties upon the ports.

(2) Existing law sets forth the duties of the Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank and its board of directors generally in

3 SB 974

performing various financing transactions, including the issuance of bonds.

This bill would authorize the bank to enter into financing agreements with participating parties to finance or refinance Southern California and Northern California port congestion relief projects and Southern California and Northern California port mitigation relief projects. The bank would be authorized to issue revenue bonds. User fees on container ships from the Southern and Northern California Port Congestion Relief Trust Funds and the Southern and Northern California Mitigation Relief Trust Funds would be continuously appropriated to the bank to secure any revenue bonds.

The bill would authorize the San Pedro Bay Ports and the Port of Oakland, through the City of Oakland, to enter into financing agreements with participating parties to finance or refinance San Pedro Bay Ports and Port of Oakland congestion and mitigation relief projects. The San Pedro Bay Ports and the Port of Oakland would be authorized to issue revenue bonds to fund these projects, and user fees on container cargo from the San Pedro Bay Ports and Port of Oakland Congestion Relief Trust Funds and the San Pedro Bay Ports and Port of Oakland Mitigation Relief Trust Funds would be used to secure any revenue bonds.

(3)

(2) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: yes-no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Article 10 (commencing with Section 63049.70)
- 2 is added to Chapter 2 of Division 1 of Title 6.7 of the Government
- 3 Code, to read:

SB 974 —4—

Article 10. Financing of Port Congestion Relief and Port
Mitigation Relief

63049.70. The definitions contained in this section are in addition to the definitions contained in Section 63010 and together with the definitions contained in that section shall govern the construction of this article, unless the context requires otherwise:

- (a) "Credit facility" means all obligations, including principal, interest, fees, costs, indemnities, and all other amounts incurred by the bank under or in connection with any credit enhancement or liquidity agreement, including a letter of credit, standby purchase agreement, reimbursement agreement, liquidity facility, or other similar arrangement entered into by the bank.
- (b) "Northern California port congestion relief container fee revenue" means all of the following:
- (1) Income and receipts derived by the bank from Northern California port congestion relief container fees.
- (2) Interest and other income from investment of money in any fund or account established pursuant to an indenture for Northern California Port Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, other than any fund established to rebate investment earnings to the federal government.
- (A) Amounts on deposit in these funds and accounts, other than any fund or account established to rebate investment earnings to the federal government and any fund or account established to hold the proceeds of a drawing on any liquidity or credit support facility for these bonds.
- (B) Net income and net receipts derived by the bank on account of interest rate swaps with respect to these bonds.
- (e) "Northern California Port Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds" means revenue bonds issued pursuant to this article that are payable from Northern California port congestion relief container fee revenue.
- (d) "Northern California port congestion relief container fees" means all user fees that are imposed pursuant to Section 1747 of the Harbors and Navigation Code and remitted to the Northern California Port Congestion Relief Trust Fund in the State Treasury.
- (e) "Northern California port congestion relief project" means each project for public development facilities and economic development facilities for which the expenditure of funds has been

5 SB 974

approved by the California Transportation Commission pursuant to Section 1751 of the Harbors and Navigation Code.

- (f) "Northern California port mitigation relief container fee revenue" means all of the following:
- (1) Income and receipts derived by the bank from Northern California port mitigation relief container fees.
- (2) Interest and other income from investment of money in any fund or account established pursuant to an indenture for Northern California Port Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, other than any fund established to rebate investment earnings to the federal government.
- (A) Amounts on deposit in these funds and accounts, other than any fund or account established to rebate investment earnings to the federal government and any fund or account established to hold the proceeds of a drawing on any liquidity or credit support facility for these bonds.
- (B) Net income and net receipts derived by the bank on account of interest rate swaps with respect to these bonds.
- (g) "Northern California Port Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds" means revenue bonds issued pursuant to this article that are payable from Northern California port mitigation relief container fee revenue.
- (h) "Northern California port mitigation relief container fees" means all user fees that are imposed pursuant to Section 1747 of the Harbors and Navigation Code and remitted to the Northern California Port Mitigation Relief Trust Fund in the State Treasury.
- (i) "Northern California port mitigation relief project" means each project for public development facilities and economic development facilities for which the expenditure of funds has been approved by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Section 1753 of the Harbors and Navigation Code.
- (j) "Southern California port congestion relief container fee revenue" means all of the following:
- (1) Income and receipts derived by the bank from Southern California port congestion relief container fees.
- (2) Interest and other income from investment of money in any fund or account established pursuant to an indenture for Southern California Port Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, other than any fund established to rebate investment earnings to the federal government.

SB 974 -6 -

(A) Amounts on deposit in these funds and accounts, other than any fund or account established to rebate investment earnings to the federal government and any fund or account established to hold the proceeds of a drawing on any liquidity or credit support facility for these bonds.

- (B) Net income and net receipts derived by the bank on account of interest rate swaps with respect to these bonds.
- (k) "Southern California Port Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds" means revenue bonds issued pursuant to this article that are payable from Southern California port congestion relief container fee revenue.
- (*l*) "Southern California port congestion relief container fees" means all user fees that are imposed pursuant to Sections 1745 and 1746 of the Harbors and Navigation Code and remitted to the Southern California Port Congestion Relief Trust Fund in the State Treasury.
- (m) "Southern California port congestion relief project" means each project for public development facilities and economic development facilities for which the expenditure of funds has been approved by the California Transportation Commission pursuant to Section 1750 of the Harbors and Navigation Code.
- (n) "Southern California port mitigation relief container fee revenue" means all of the following:
- (1) Income and receipts derived by the bank from Southern California port mitigation relief container fees.
- (2) Interest and other income from investment of money in any fund or account established pursuant to an indenture for Southern California Port Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, other than any fund established to rebate investment earnings to the federal government.
- (3) Amounts on deposit in these funds and accounts, other than any fund or account established to rebate investment earnings to the federal government and any fund or account established to hold the proceeds of a drawing on any liquidity or credit support facility for these bonds.
- (4) Net income and net receipts derived by the bank on account of interest rate swaps with respect to these bonds.
- (o) "Southern California Port Mitigation Relief Container Fee
 Revenue Bonds" means revenue bonds issued pursuant to this

__7__ SB 974

article that are payable from Southern California port mitigation relief container fee revenue.

- (p) "Southern California port mitigation relief container fees" means all user fees that are imposed pursuant to Sections 1745 and 1746 of the Harbors and Navigation Code and remitted to the Southern California Port Mitigation Relief Trust Fund in the State Treasury.
- (q) "Southern California port mitigation relief project" means each project for public development facilities and economic development facilities for which the expenditure of funds has been approved by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Section 1752 of the Harbors and Navigation Code.
- 63049.71. (a) The bank may enter into financing agreements with participating parties for the purpose of financing or refinancing Southern California port congestion relief projects and Southern California port mitigation relief projects.
- (b) The bank may issue bonds pursuant to this chapter as Southern California Port Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds to finance or refinance Southern California port congestion relief projects and as Southern California Port Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds to finance or refinance Southern California port mitigation relief projects. The aggregate principal amount of the bonds that may be issued is unlimited, but the aggregate principal amount of the bonds that may be outstanding at any one time is five billion dollars (\$5,000,000,000). The revenue bonds may also be issued to finance necessary reserves, capitalized interest, credit enhancement costs, and costs of issuance of the revenue bonds. The last date for payment of principal of any revenue bond may not be more than 30 years after the date of issuance of the revenue bond.
- (e) Principal of and interest and redemption premiums on Southern California Port Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds and Southern California Port Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds shall be payable from, and secured by, Southern California port congestion relief container fee revenue and Southern California port mitigation relief container fee revenue, respectively, as and to the extent provided in the constituent instruments defining the rights of the holders of the bonds.

SB 974 —8—

 63049.72. (a) The bank may enter into financing agreements with participating parties for the purpose of financing or refinancing Northern California port congestion relief projects and Northern California port mitigation relief projects.

- (b) The bank may issue bonds pursuant to this chapter as Northern California Port Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds to finance or refinance Northern California port congestion relief projects and as Northern California Port Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds to finance or refinance Northern California port mitigation relief projects. The aggregate principal amount of the bonds that may be issued is unlimited, but the aggregate principal amount of the bonds that may be outstanding at any one time is five billion dollars (\$5,000,000,000). The revenue bonds may also be issued to finance necessary reserves, capitalized interest, credit enhancement costs, and costs of issuance of the revenue bonds. The last date for payment of principal of any revenue bond may not be more than 30 years after the date of issuance of the revenue bond.
- (e) Principal of and interest and redemption premiums on Northern California Port Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds and Northern California Port Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds shall be payable from, and secured by, Northern California port congestion relief container fee revenue and Northern California port mitigation relief container fee revenue, respectively, all as and to the extent provided in the constituent instruments defining the rights of the holders of the bonds.

63049.73. (a) The bank may pledge all or any portion of the Southern California port congestion relief container fees to secure Southern California Port Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, and credit facilities for these bonds, and all or any portion of the Southern California port mitigation relief container fees to secure Southern California Port Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, and credit facilities for these bonds. All Southern California port congestion relief container fees and Southern California port mitigation relief container fees so pledged are hereby continuously appropriated, notwithstanding Section 13340, without regard to fiscal years, to the bank, and, if the bank so directs, shall be paid to the indenture trustee for these bonds each month, from the Southern California Port Congestion Relief Trust Fund and the Southern California Port Mitigation Relief Trust

-9- SB 974

Fund for so long as any of the bonds are outstanding. Any Southern California port congestion relief container fees and Southern California port mitigation relief container fees that are not required to be retained by the indenture trustee pursuant to the constituent instruments defining the rights of the holders of the bonds shall be remitted by the indenture trustee to the Southern California Port Congestion Relief Trust Fund and the Southern California Port Mitigation Relief Trust Fund and shall be disbursed at the request and direction of the California Transportation Commission and the State Air Resources Board, respectively, for Southern California port congestion relief projects and Southern California port mitigation relief projects that are not being financed with revenue bonds issued by the bank, and these funds are available upon appropriation by the Legislature, for that purpose.

(b) The state hereby pledges to and agrees with the holders of revenue bonds issued pursuant to this article, and each provider of a letter of credit, standby purchase agreement, reimbursement agreement, liquidity facility, or other similar arrangement for the benefit of the revenue bonds, that the state will not limit, alter, or restrict each pledge of Southern California port congestion relief container fees and Southern California port mitigation relief container fees permitted hereby and any other terms of any agreement made with or for the benefit of the holders of the revenue bonds or the providers or in any way impair the rights or remedies of the holders of the bonds or the providers or reduce or terminate the fees while any of the bonds remain outstanding.

63049.74. (a) The bank may pledge all or any portion of the Northern California port congestion relief container fees to secure Northern California Port Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, and credit facilities for these bonds, and all or any portion of the Northern California port mitigation relief container fees to secure Northern California Port Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, and credit facilities for these bonds. All Northern California port congestion relief container fees and Northern California port mitigation relief container fees so pledged are hereby continuously appropriated, notwithstanding Section 13340, without regard to fiscal years, to the bank, and, if the bank so directs, shall be paid to the indenture trustee for the bonds each month, from the Northern California Port Congestion Relief Trust Fund and the Northern California Port Mitigation Relief Trust

-10

Fund for so long as any of the bonds are outstanding. Any Northern California port congestion relief container fees and Northern California port mitigation relief container fees that are not required to be retained by the indenture trustee pursuant to the constituent instruments defining the rights of the holders of the bonds shall be remitted by the indenture trustee to the Northern California Port Congestion Relief Trust Fund and the Northern California Port Mitigation Relief Trust Fund and shall be disbursed at the request and direction of the California Transportation Commission and the State Air Resources Board, respectively, for Northern California port congestion relief projects and Northern California port mitigation relief projects that are not being financed with revenue bonds issued by the bank, and these funds are available upon appropriation by the Legislature, for that purpose.

(b) The state hereby pledges to and agrees with the holders of revenue bonds issued pursuant to this article, and each provider of a letter of credit, standby purchase agreement, reimbursement agreement, liquidity facility, or other similar arrangement for the benefit of the revenue bonds, that the state will not limit, alter, or restrict each pledge of Northern California port congestion relief container fees and Northern California port mitigation relief container fees permitted hereby and any other terms of any agreement made with or for the benefit of the holders of the revenue bonds or the providers or in any way impair the rights or remedies of the holders of the bonds or the providers or reduce or terminate the fees while any of the bonds remain outstanding.

63049.75. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, Article 3 (commencing with Section 63040), Article 4 (commencing with Section 63042), and Article 5 (commencing with Section 63043) of this chapter do not apply to any financing provided by the bank pursuant to this article, and the principal amount of revenue bonds issued pursuant to this article and Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 63070) shall not count against the limit stated in the first sentence of subdivision (b) of Section 63071.

SEC. 2.

SECTION 1. The heading of Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 1720) is added to Part 2 of Division 6 of the Harbors and Navigation Code, immediately preceding Section 1720, to read:

CHAPTER 1. PORT FACILITY CONSTRUCTION

-11- SB 974

SEC. 3.

SEC. 2. Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 1740) is added to Part 2 of Division 6 of the Harbors and Navigation Code, to read:

1 2

Chapter 2. Port Congestion Relief and Port Mitigation Relief

Article 1. General Provisions

- 1740. The Legislature hereby finds and declares all of the following:
- (a) There is a need to mitigate the enormous burden imposed on the highway transportation system serving the Ports of Los Angeles, Long Beach, and Oakland by the overland movement of *container* cargo shipped from and to to and from those ports.
 - (b) The operation of the ports causes environmental pollution
- (b) The operation of the ports and trains, ships, and trucks that move cargo containers to and from the ports cause air pollution that requires mitigation.
- (c) The improvement of goods movement infrastructure would benefit the owners of container cargo moving through the ports by allowing the owners of the cargo to move container cargo more efficiently *and reliably*, and to move more cargo through those ports.
- (d) The reduction of goods movement *air* pollution would benefit the owners of container cargo moving through the ports by meeting federal air quality standards, which will allow for continued federal funding of goods movement infrastructure projects.
- (e) Accordingly, it is the intent of the Legislature to alleviate these burdens by imposing a fee on shipping containers processed through those ports and using the funds derived from that fee to do both of the following:
- (1) Improve the rail system that serves as an alternative to shipping on the highway by commercial vehicle, including, but not limited to, the ondock rail facilities at those ports.
- (2) Mitigate the environmental pollution caused by port operations.

SB 974 — 12 —

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

2223

2425

26

2728

1 (2) Mitigate the air pollution resulting from port operations 2 moving container cargo.

- 1741. (a) There is hereby established in the State Treasury the Southern California Port Congestion Relief Trust Fund.
- (b) There is hereby established in the State Treasury the Southern California Port Mitigation Relief Trust Fund.
- (e) There is hereby established in the State Treasury the Northern California Port Congestion Relief Trust Fund.
- (d) There is hereby established in the State Treasury the Northern California Port Mitigation Relief Trust Fund.
- 1743. For purposes of this chapter, the following definitions apply:
 - (a) "Board" means the State Air Resources Board.
 - (b) "Commission" means the California Transportation Commission.
- (c) "Northern California Congestion Fund" means the Northern California Port Congestion Relief Trust Fund.
- (d) "Northern California Mitigation Fund" means the Northern California Port Mitigation Relief Trust Fund.
- (e) "Port" means the Port of Los Angeles, Port of Long Beach, or Port of Oakland, as appropriate.
 - (f) "Southern California Congestion Fund" means the Southern California Port Congestion Relief Trust Fund.
 - (g) "Southern California Mitigation Fund" means the Southern California Port Mitigation Relief Trust Fund.
- 1741. (a) There is hereby established the Southern California Goods Movement Authority. The authority shall be composed of one representative from each of the following:
- 29 (1) The Port of Los Angeles, appointed by the Los Angeles Board 30 of Harbor Commissioners.
- (2) The Port of Long Beach, appointed by the Long Beach Board
 of Harbor Commissioners.
- 33 (3) The City of Los Angeles, appointed by the Mayor of Los Angeles.
- 35 (4) The City of Long Beach, appointed by the Mayor of Long 36 Beach.
- 37 (5) The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation
- 38 Authority, appointed by the board of directors of the Los Angeles
- 39 County Metropolitan Transportation Authority.

__13__ SB 974

(6) The Orange County Transportation Authority, appointed by the board of directors of the Orange County Transportation Authority.

- (7) The Riverside County Transportation Commission.
- (8) The San Bernardino Associated Governments.

- (9) The Alameda Corridor East Construction Authority.
- (b) The authority shall be organized solely for the purpose of establishing a priority list of projects pursuant to Section 1750. Each representative shall have one vote when determining the list of projects. When deciding on a list of projects, the authority shall have at least a majority of its members supporting the list that is transmitted to the California Transportation Commission.
- (c) For organization and meeting purposes, the Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority shall provide staff and meeting space for the authority and shall be reimbursed for these administrative expenses pursuant to Sections 1745 and 1746. All public meeting laws that apply to the City of Long Beach and the City of Los Angeles shall apply to the authority.
- 1743. For purposes of this chapter, the following definitions apply:
- (a) "Authority" means the Southern California Goods Movement Authority.
 - (b) "Board" means the State Air Resources Board.
- (c) "Commission" means the California Transportation Commission.
- (d) "District" means the Bay Area Air Quality Management District or the South Coast Air Quality Management District, as appropriate.
 - (e) "MTC" means the Metropolitan Transportation Commission.
- (f) "Port" means the Port of Los Angeles, the Port of Long Beach, or the Port of Oakland, otherwise known as the City of Oakland acting by and through its Board of Port Commissioners, as appropriate.
- (g) "Port of Oakland Congestion Fund" means the Port of Oakland Congestion Relief Trust Fund.
- (h) "Port of Oakland congestion relief container fee revenue" means income and receipts derived by that port from Port of Oakland congestion relief container fees.
- 39 (i) "Port of Oakland Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue 40 Bonds" means revenue bonds issued pursuant to the City of

SB 974 — 14 —

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

Oakland City Charter that are payable from Port of Oakland congestion relief container fee revenue.

- (j) "Port of Oakland congestion relief container fees" means all user fees that are imposed pursuant to Section 1747 and remitted to the Port of Oakland Congestion Fund.
- (k) "Port of Oakland congestion relief project" means each project for public development facilities and economic facilities for which the expenditure of funds has been approved by the commission pursuant to Section 1751.
- (l) "Port of Oakland Mitigation Fund" means the Port of Oakland Mitigation Relief Trust Fund.
- (m) "Port of Oakland mitigation relief container fee revenue" means income and receipts derived by the port from Port of Oakland mitigation relief container fees.
- (n) "Port of Oakland Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds" means revenue bonds issued pursuant to the City of Oakland City Charter that are payable from Port of Oakland mitigation relief container fee revenue.
- (o) "Port of Oakland mitigation relief container fees" means all user fees that are imposed pursuant to Section 1747 and remitted to the Port of Oakland Mitigation Fund.
- (p) "Port of Oakland mitigation relief project" means each project for public development facilities and economic development facilities for which the expenditure of funds has been approved by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Section 1753.
- (q) "San Pedro Bay Ports" means the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.
- (r) "San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Fund" means the San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Trust Fund.
- (s) "San Pedro Bay Ports congestion relief container fee revenue" means income and receipts derived by the San Pedro Bay Ports from San Pedro Bay Ports congestion relief container fees.
- (t) "San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Container Fee
 Revenue Bonds" means revenue bonds issued by the San Pedro
 Bay Ports that are payable from San Pedro Bay Ports congestion
 relief container fee revenue.
- 38 (u) "San Pedro Bay Ports congestion relief container fees" 39 means all user fees that are imposed pursuant to Sections 1745

-15 - SB 974

1 and 1746 and remitted to the San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion2 Fund.

- (v) "San Pedro Bay Ports congestion relief project" means each project for public development facilities and economic development facilities for which the expenditure of funds has been approved by the authority pursuant to Section 1750.
- (w) "San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Fund" means the San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Relief Trust Fund.
- (x) "San Pedro Bay Ports mitigation relief container fee revenue" means income and receipts derived by the San Pedro Bay Ports from San Pedro Bay Ports mitigation relief container fees.
- (y) "San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds" means revenue bonds issued by the San Pedro Bay Ports that are payable from San Pedro Bay Ports mitigation relief container fee revenue.
- (z) "San Pedro Bay Ports mitigation relief container fees" means all user fees that are imposed pursuant to Sections 1745 and 1746 and remitted to the San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Fund.
- (aa) "San Pedro Bay Ports mitigation relief project" means each project for public development facilities and economic development facilities for which the expenditure of funds has been approved by the South Coast Air Quality Management District pursuant to Section 1752.
- 1744. The provisions of this chapter are severable. If any provision of this chapter or its application is held invalid, that invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

Article 2. User Fee

- 1745. (a) Beginning January 1, 2008, the Port of Los Angeles shall develop a process for notifying the owner of, and collecting a user fee from the owner of, container cargo moving through the port.
- (b) No later than June 1, 2008, the port shall notify the owner of cargo moving through the port that it will be assessed a user fee not to exceed thirty dollars (\$30) per 20-foot equivalent unit (TEU). The notice shall include, but not be limited to, the process for

SB 974 -16-

payment of the user fee, the frequency for payment of the user fee, and that the user fee is being assessed to improve the goods movement infrastructure serving the port, to reduce *air* pollution from all forms of equipment, vehicles, locomotives, and ships that operate at the port and bring containers to and from the port.

- (c) Beginning January 1, 2009, the port shall assess a user fee on the owner of container cargo moving through the port not to exceed thirty dollars (\$30) per TEU. The port shall collect the fee at least twice a year.
- (1) The port shall remit one-half of the user fee to the Southern California
- (1) The San Pedro Bay Ports shall establish and maintain a special purpose trust fund named the San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Trust Fund. The port shall remit one-half of the user fee to the San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Fund. Upon appropriation, moneys Moneys deposited in that fund shall be available for expenditure by the commission authority exclusively for the purposes of funding projects that improve the flow and efficiency of container cargo to and from the Port of Los Angeles, and to fund the administrative costs of this program. Moneys deposited in that fund shall not be loaned or transferred—to, or allocated or appropriated in any other way to, the General Fund. to the general fund of the Port or City of Los Angeles or the Port or City or Long Beach.
- (2) The port shall remit one-half of the user fee to the Southern California
- (2) The San Pedro Bay Ports shall establish and maintain a special purpose trust fund named the San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Relief Trust Fund. The port shall remit one-half of the user fee to the San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Relief Trust Mitigation Fund. Upon appropriation, moneys Moneys deposited in that fund shall be available for expenditure by the board South Coast Air Quality Management District to mitigate environmental air pollution caused by the movement of container cargo to and from the Port of Los Angeles by commercial motor vehicles, oceangoing vessels, and rail, and to fund the administrative costs of this program. Moneys deposited in that fund shall not be loaned or transferred to, or allocated or appropriated in any other way to, the General Fund. or transferred to the general fund of the Port or City of Los Angeles or the Port or City of Long Beach.

__17__ SB 974

(d) The port may contract with PierPass for the collection of the user fee authorized pursuant to this section.

- 1746. (a) Beginning January 1, 2008, the Port of Long Beach shall develop a process for notifying the owner of, and collecting a user fee from the owner of, container cargo moving through the port.
- (b) No later than June 1, 2008, the port shall notify the owner of cargo moving through the port that it will be assessed a user fee not to exceed thirty dollars (\$30) per 20-foot equivalent unit (TEU). The notice shall include, but not be limited to, the process for payment of the user fee, the frequency for payment of the user fee, and that the user fee is being assessed to improve the goods movement infrastructure serving the port, to reduce *air* pollution from all forms of equipment, vehicles, locomotives, and ships that operate at the port and bring containers to and from the port.
- (c) Beginning January 1, 2009, the port shall assess a user fee on the owner of container cargo moving through the port not to exceed thirty dollars (\$30) per TEU. The port shall collect the fee at least twice a year.
- (1) The port shall remit one-half of the user fee to the Southern California
- (1) The San Pedro Bay Ports shall establish and maintain a special purpose trust fund named the San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Trust Fund. The port shall remit one-half of the user fee to the San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Fund. Upon appropriation, moneys Moneys deposited in that fund shall be available for expenditure by the commission authority exclusively for the purposes of funding projects that improve the flow and efficiency of container cargo to and from the Port of Long Beach, and to fund the administrative costs of this program. Moneys deposited in that fund shall not be loaned or transferred to, or allocated or appropriated in any other way to, the General Fund. to the general fund of the Port or City of Los Angeles or the Port or City of Long Beach.
- (2) The port shall remit one-half of the user fee to the Southern California
- (1) The San Pedro Bay Ports shall establish and maintain a special purpose trust fund named the San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Trust Fund. The port shall remit one-half of the user fee to the San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Fund. Upon

SB 974 — 18—

appropriation, moneys Moneys deposited in that fund shall be available for expenditure by the board South Coast Air Quality Management District to mitigate environmental air pollution caused by the movement of container cargo to and from the Port of Long Beach by commercial motor vehicles, oceangoing vessels, and rail, and to fund the administrative costs of this program. Moneys deposited in that fund shall not be loaned or transferred to, or allocated or appropriated in any other way to, the General

- 8 to, or allocated or appropriated in any other way to, the General 9 Fund. or transferred to the general fund of the Port or City of Los 10 Angeles or the Port or City of Long Beach.
 - (d) The port may contract with PierPass for the collection of the user fee authorized pursuant to this section.
 - 1747. (a) Beginning January 1, 2008, the Port of Oakland shall develop a process for notifying the owner of, and collecting a user fee from the owner of, container cargo moving through the port.
 - (b) No later than June 1, 2008, the port shall notify the owner of *container* cargo moving through the port that it will be assessed a user fee not to exceed thirty dollars (\$30) per 20-foot equivalent unit (TEU). The notice shall include, but not be limited to, the process for payment of the user fee, the frequency for payment of the user fee, and that the user fee is being assessed to improve the goods movement infrastructure serving the port, to reduce *air* pollution from all forms of equipment, vehicles, locomotives, and ships that operate at the port and bring containers to and from the port.
 - (c) Beginning January 1, 2009, the port shall assess a user fee on the owner of container cargo moving through the port not to exceed thirty dollars (\$30) per TEU. The port shall collect the fee at least twice a year.
 - (1) The port shall remit one-half of the user fee to the Northern California
 - (1) The port shall establish and maintain a special purpose trust fund named the Port of Oakland Congestion Relief Trust Fund and remit one-half of the user fee to the Port of Oakland Congestion Fund. Upon appropriation, moneys Moneys deposited in that fund shall be available for expenditure by the commission exclusively for the purposes of funding projects that improve the flow and efficiency of container cargo to and from the Port of Oakland and to fund the administrative costs of this program. Moneys deposited in that fund shall not be loaned or transferred

-19- SB 974

to, or allocated or appropriated in any other way to, the General Fund. to the general fund of the Port or City of Oakland.

- (2) The port shall remit one-half of the user fee to the Northern California
- (2) The port shall establish and maintain a special purpose trust fund named the Port of Oakland Mitigation Relief Trust Fund and remit one-half of the user fee to the Port of Oakland Mitigation Fund. Upon appropriation, moneys Moneys deposited in that fund shall be available for expenditure by the board to mitigate environmental air pollution caused by the movement of container cargo to and from the port by commercial motor vehicles, oceangoing vessels, and rail, and to fund the administrative costs of this program. Moneys deposited in that fund shall not be loaned or transferred to, or allocated or appropriated in any other way to, the General Fund. transferred to the general fund of the Port or City of Oakland.
- (d) The port may contract with PierPass for the collection of the user fee authorized pursuant to this section.

Article 3. Congestion Relief and Mitigation Relief Projects

1750. (a) Beginning January 1, 2008, the commission authority shall develop a list of projects that would improve the overall efficiency of container cargo movement to and from the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach by improving the rail system and container transportation systems that transport container cargo from and to to and from those ports and the ondock rail facilities at those ports. In the process for selecting projects, the commission authority shall consult with the transportation commissions for the Counties of Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura, the Port of Los Angeles, the City of Los Angeles, the Port of Long Beach, the City of Long Beach, and the Southern California Association of Governments. The commission shall hold public hearings to seek further input on developing these projects, with at least one hearing at or near the Port of Los Angeles and the Port of Long Beach. the commission and the Southern California Association of Governments. The authority shall hold public hearings to seek further input on developing these projects, including at least one hearing at or near the Port of Los Angeles and the Port of Long Beach. The authority shall compile this list,

SB 974 -20-

in priority order, and submit it to the commission no later than April 1, 2008. If the commission rejects the list, the authority shall compile a new list and submit it to the commission.

- (b) No later than September 1, 2008, the commission, at a public hearing, shall-finalize a list of projects approve a project list submitted by the authority that would improve the overall efficiency of container cargo movement to and from the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach by improving the rail system and container transportation systems that transport container cargo from and to to and from those ports and the ondock rail facilities at those ports. This will be the final list, of infrastructure projects at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, eligible to be funded by the user fee authorized pursuant to this chapter. The commission shall not change the list of projects submitted by the authority. The commission may only accept or reject the entire list of projects. If the commission has not approved a list of projects by September 1, 2008, the most recent list of projects submitted to the commission by the authority shall become the final list of projects.
- (c) Projects eligible to be on the final list shall not be used to construct, maintain, or improve highways, unless the highway or road improvement is part of a rail grade separation, or the highway improvement is done to separate container cargo from motor vehicle traffic by creating on ramps or off ramps for port container truck traffic.
- (c) Funds from the San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Fund shall be used only for projects that improve the movement of container cargo by rail, or for projects that construct, maintain, or improve a road or highway that is part of a road or highway rail grade separation. A rail grade separation does not include a road or highway going above or beneath another road or highway. To qualify, a rail grade separation project shall reduce conflicts between trains carrying container cargo and motor vehicles, or reduce conflicts between trains carrying container cargo and other trains carrying container cargo.
 - (d) In awarding funds pursuant to this section, the commission
- (d) In determining which projects to include in the list of projects and in what order of priority, the authority shall give priority to those projects that have been designed to measurably reduce air pollution and environmental impacts to local communities, and to assist in achieving and maintaining state and federal air quality

—21 — SB 974

standards—and—enhancing—environmental performance, while addressing the overall efficiency of container cargo movement.

- (e) On January 1, 2009, and annually thereafter, the Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles shall report to the commission on the implementation of the Final 2006 San Pedro Bay Clean Air Action Plan. Each port shall report to the commission on whether the emission reduction goals for the source specific categories have been achieved as follows:
- (1) Heavy-duty vehicles by 2011.

- (2) Cargo handling equipment, 2010, 2012, and 2014.
- (3) Harbor craft, 2008 and 2011.
 - (4) Locomotives, 2008, 2011, and 2014.

If any of the source specific emission reduction goals have not been met, the commission shall not award funding to any project, and the commission shall not fund any further projects until the source specific emission reduction goals are achieved, other than projects that have been awarded funding prior to this finding.

- (e) Beginning January 1, 2010, the board shall evaluate the emissions from heavy-duty vehicles, container cargo handling equipment, harbor craft, and locomotives at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, and shall determine if these ports have reduced emissions from those sources to meet the goals of the board's Emission Reduction Plan for Ports and Goods Movement. No later than July 1, 2010, and no later than January 1, 2015, and January 1, 2020, the board shall notify the commission as to whether or not the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach have met these goals. If these goals, as determined by the board, have not been met, the commission shall not award funding to a project, other than for on-dock rail and rail and road or highway grade separations, until the board determines that these goals have been met.
- (f) For all construction projects funded pursuant to this section, a contractor shall ensure that all mobile nonroad equipment used on the project will be equipped with a California Air Resources Board (CARB) verified diesel particulate filter that obtains at least an 85-percent reduction in emissions, unless any of the following circumstances exists, and the contractor is able to provide proof that any of these circumstances exists:

SB 974 — 22 —

(1) A piece of specialized equipment is unavailable in a controlled form within the state, including through a leasing arrangement.

- (2) A contractor has applied for incentive funds to put controls on a piece of uncontrolled equipment planned for use on the project, but the application is not yet approved, or the application has been approved, but funds are not yet available.
- (3) A contractor has ordered a control device for a piece of equipment planned for use on the project, or has ordered a new piece of controlled equipment to replace the uncontrolled equipment, but that order has not been completed by the manufacturer or dealer, and the contractor has attempted to lease controlled equipment, but no dealer within 200 miles of the project has the controlled equipment available for lease.
- (g) Projects eligible to be considered by the commission for the list by the authority include, but are not limited to, all of the following:
- (1) A project to separate at-grade crossings to reduce conflicts between trains and motor vehicles in Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino Counties, also known as the Alameda Corridor East Project.
- (2) A project to improve rail capacity by adding additional tracks to existing rail lines in Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino Counties, which does not disproportionately impact low-income communities.
- (3) A project to separate at-grade rail crossings in San Bernardino County, also known as the Colton crossing.
- (4) A project to improve ondock rail infrastructure at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.
- (h) In determining which projects to select, the commission for the list, the authority shall also take into account the entire rail and trade corridor servicing the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.
- (i) The commission shall only use the funds received from the Southern California San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Fund to fund projects authorized pursuant to this section.
- (j) A project receiving funding pursuant to this section may also receive funding from other sources, including, but not limited to, local agencies, state sources, federal sources, and private sources.

39 (i)

SB 974

(k) Once the projects on the final list are completed and fully funded, the commission shall notify the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach that the infrastructure projects are completed and—to the ports shall no longer collect the one-half of the user fee for infrastructure projects. The commission may also make a finding that a project on the final list has either been funded by another source or is no longer worthy of funding.

- (l) Beginning January 1, 2009, and annually thereafter, the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach shall report to the commission and the transportation committees of the Senate and Assembly on the status of the San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan.
- 1751. (a) Beginning January 1, 2008, the commission MTC shall develop a list of projects that would improve the overall efficiency of container cargo movement to and from the Port of Oakland by improving the rail and container transportation systems that transport container cargo from and to to and from that port and the ondock rail facilities at that port. In the process for selecting projects, the commission shall consult with the transportation commissions for the Counties of Alameda and Contra Costa, the Port of Oakland, the City of Oakland, and the Bay Area Association of Governments. The commission shall hold public hearings to seek further input on developing these projects, including at least one hearing in the City of Oakland. MTC shall consult with the commission, the Port of Oakland, the City of Oakland, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency, and the Council of Fresno County Governments. The MTC shall hold public hearings to seek further input on developing these projects, including at least one hearing in the City of Oakland. The MTC shall compile this list, in priority order, and submit it to the commission no later than April 1, 2008. If the commission rejects the list, the MTC shall compile a new list and submit it to the commission.
- (b) No later than September 1, 2008, the commission, at a public hearing, shall—finalize a list of projects approve a project list submitted by the MTC that would improve the overall efficiency of container cargo movement to and from the Port of Oakland by improving the rail and container transportation systems that transport container cargo from and to to and from that port and the ondock rail facilities at that port. This will be the final list, of

SB 974 — 24—

infrastructure projects at the Port of Oakland, eligible to be funded by the user fee authorized pursuant to this chapter. *The commission* shall not change the list of projects submitted by the MTC. The commission may only accept or reject the entire list of projects. If the commission has not approved a list of projects by September 1, 2008, the most recent list of projects submitted to the commission by the MTC shall become the final list of projects.

- (c) Projects eligible to be on the final list shall not be used to construct, maintain, or improve highways, unless the highway or road improvement is part of a rail grade separation, or the highway improvement is done to separate container cargo from motor vehicle traffic by creating on ramps or off ramps for port container truck traffic.
- (c) Funds from the Port of Oakland Congestion Fund shall be used only for projects that improve the movement of container cargo by rail, or for projects that construct, maintain, or improve a road or highway that is part of a road or highway rail grade separation. To qualify, a rail grade separation project shall reduce conflicts between trains carrying container cargo and motor vehicles.
 - (d) In awarding funds pursuant to this section, the commission
- (d) In determining which projects to include in the list of projects and in what order of priority, the MTC shall give priority to those projects that have been designed to measurably reduce air pollution and environmental impacts to local communities, and to assist in achieving and maintaining state and federal air quality standards and enhancing environmental performance, while addressing the overall efficiency of container cargo movement.
- (e) Beginning January 1, 2010, the board shall evaluate the emissions from heavy-duty vehicles, container cargo handling equipment, harbor craft, and locomotives at the Port of Oakland, and shall determine if the port has reduced emissions from those sources to meet the goals of the board's Emission Reduction Plan for Ports and Goods Movement. No later than July 1, 2010, and on no later than January 1, 2015, and January 1, 2020, the board shall notify the commission as to whether or not the port has met these goals. If these goals, as determined by the board, have not been met, the commission shall not award funding to a project, other than for on-dock rail, rail improvements on port property.

__ 25 __ SB 974

and rail and road or highway grade separations, until the board determines that these goals have been met.

(e)

- (f) For all construction projects funded pursuant to this section, a contractor shall ensure that all mobile nonroad equipment used on the project will be equipped with a California Air Resources Board (CARB) verified diesel particulate filter that obtains at least an 85 percent reduction in emissions, unless any of the following circumstances exists, and the contractor is able to provide proof that any of these circumstances exists:
- (1) A piece of specialized equipment is unavailable in a controlled form within the state, including through a leasing arrangement.
- (2) A contractor has applied for incentive funds to put controls on a piece of uncontrolled equipment planned for use on the project, but the application is not yet approved, or the application has been approved, but funds are not yet available.
- (3) A contractor has ordered a control device for a piece of equipment planned for use on the project, or has ordered a new piece of controlled equipment to replace the uncontrolled equipment, but that order has not been completed by the manufacturer or dealer, and the contractor has attempted to lease controlled equipment, but no dealer within 200 miles of the project has the controlled equipment available for lease.

(f)

(g) Projects eligible to be considered by the commission MTC include, but are not limited to, projects to separate at-grade crossings to reduce conflicts between trains and motor vehicles and ondock reduce conflicts between trains carrying container cargo and motor vehicles or increase mainline rail capacity for moving cargo containers, and on-dock and near-dock rail improvements at the Port of Oakland.

(g)

(h) In determining which projects to select, the commission MTC shall also take into account the entire rail and trade corridor across northern and central California servicing the Port of Oakland.

38 (h)

SB 974 -26-

(i) The commission shall only use the funds received from the Northern California Port of Oakland Congestion Fund to fund projects authorized pursuant to this section.

- (j) A project receiving funding pursuant to this section may also receive funding from other sources, including, but not limited to, local agencies, state sources, federal sources, and private sources. (i)
- (k) Once the projects on the final list are completed and fully funded, the commission shall notify the Port of Oakland, that the infrastructure projects are completed and to the port shall no longer collect the one-half of the user fee for infrastructure projects. The commission may also make a finding that a project on the final list has either been funded by another source or is no longer worthy of funding.
- (1) Beginning January 1, 2009, and annually thereafter, the Port of Oakland shall report to the commission and the transportation committees of the Senate and Assembly on the status of the port's clean air action plan.
- 1752. (a) Beginning January 1, 2008, the board South Coast Air Quality Management District (district) shall develop a list of projects that reduce air pollution caused by the movement of container cargo to and from the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach. The projects on the list shall be consistent with the Emission Reduction Plan (ERP) adopted April 2006, and shall be designed to reduce air pollution at those ports in order to achieve and maintain state and federal air quality standards and to meet the ERP's goals for 2010, 2015, and 2020, as well as the goals for the Air Quality Management Plan prepared by the South Coast Air Ouality Management District, and the San Pedro Bay Clean Air Action Plan. In developing the list, the board district shall consult with the South Coast Air Quality Management District board, the Gateway Council of Governments, and the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach. The board district shall hold public hearings before developing the list of projects, with including at least one hearing being held at or near the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach. The district shall compile this list, in priority order, and submit it the board no later than April 1, 2008.
- (b) The board shall work with the South Coast Air Quality Management District, the Port of Los Angeles, and the Port of

SB 974

(b) The district shall work with the Port of Los Angeles and the Port of Long Beach in order to ensure that projects within the Air Quality Management Plan prepared by the South Coast Air Quality Management District district and within the San Pedro Bay Clean Air Action Plan are completed or implemented. The board may provide funding to the district in order to implement the Air Quality Management Plan prepared by the district, and to the ports in order to implement the San Pedro Bay Clean Air Action Plan.

- (c) Projects eligible to be considered by the district include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (1) The replacement, repowering, or retrofitting of heavy-duty diesel vehicles that move cargo containers to and from the Port of Los Angeles or the Port of Long Beach, not otherwise required by any federal or state law or regulation.
- (2) The replacement, repowering, or retrofitting of locomotive engines that move cargo containers to and from the Port of Los Angeles or the Port of Long Beach, not otherwise required by any federal or state law or regulation.
- (3) Funding through grants of the incremental cost of using a low-sulfur fuel, not otherwise required by statute or regulation, on ocean going vessels that carry cargo containers to and from the Port of Los Angeles or the Port of Long Beach.
- (4) The provision of mobile or portable shore side distributed power generation to ocean-going cargo container vessels that eliminates the need to use the electricity grid at the Port of Los Angeles or the Port of Long Beach, and that has been tested and verified by the board or a local air quality management district.
- (5) The electrification of the rail infrastructure used to move cargo containers to and from the Port of Los Angeles or the Port of Long Beach.
- (6) The provision of shore side electrical power generation to ocean-going cargo container vessels, moving cargo containers to and from the Port of Los Angeles or the Port of Long Beach, not otherwise required by any federal or state law or regulation.
- (7) Container cargo-handling equipment, handling cargo containers at the Port of Los Angeles or the Port of Long Beach, not otherwise required by any federal or state law or regulation.

38 (c)

(d) No later than September 1, 2008, the board, at a public hearing, shall-finalize accept a list of projects that meet from the

-28

1 district that meets the ERP's goals for 2010, 2015, and 2020, in
2 order to meet or maintain federal air quality attainment standards.
3 If the board has not approved a list of projects by September 1,
4 2008, the most recent list of projects submitted to the board by the

5 district shall become the final list of projects.

(d)

(e) The board may determine, at a public hearing, that the emission reduction goals for 2020 have been met or exceeded and that projects that reduce emissions from the movement of cargo containers to and from the Port of Los Angeles that are designed to achieve federal air quality standards have been met in the South Coast Air Basin implemented, including full implementation of projects that reduce emissions from the movement of cargo containers to and from the Port of Los Angeles contained within the Air Quality Management Plan prepared by the South Coast Air Quality Management District district. Once the determination is made, and ensuring that all approved projects have been funded, the board shall notify the Port of Los Angeles of this determination, and the Port of Los Angeles shall no longer collect the one-half of the user fee for air quality projects meant to reach these goals and federal air quality attainment standards.

(e)

(f) The board may determine, at a public hearing, that the emission reduction goals for 2020 have been met or exceeded and that projects that reduce emissions from the movement of cargo containers to and from the Port of Long Beach that are designed to achieve federal air quality standards have been met in the South Coast Air Basin implemented, including full implementation of projects that reduce emissions from the movement of cargo containers to and from the Port of Long Beach contained within the Air Quality Management Plan prepared by the South Coast Air Quality Management District district. Once the determination is made, and ensuring that all approved projects have been funded, the board shall notify the Port of Long Beach of this determination, and the Port of Long Beach shall no longer collect the one-half of the user fee for air quality projects meant to reach these goals and federal air quality attainment standards.

38 (f)

SB 974

(g) The board shall only use the funds received from the Southern California San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Fund to fund projects authorized pursuant to this section.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

- (h) A project receiving funding pursuant to this section may also receive funding from other sources, including, but not limited to, local agencies, state sources, federal sources, and private sources.
- 1753. (a) Beginning January 1, 2008, the board Bay Area Air Quality Management District (district) shall develop a list of projects that reduce air pollution caused by the movement of container cargo to and from the Port of Oakland. The projects on the list shall be consistent with the Emission Reduction Plan (ERP) adopted April 2006, and shall be designed to reduce air pollution at the port in order to achieve and maintain state and federal air quality standards and to meet the ERP's goals for 2010, 2015, and 2020. In developing the list, the board district shall consult with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District and the Port of Oakland. the board, the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, the San Joaquin Air Pollution Control District, and the Port of Oakland. The district shall compile this list, in priority order, and submit it to the board no later than April 1, 2008. If the board rejects the list, the district shall compile a new list and submit it to the board.

(b) If the Bay Area Air Quality Management District and the Port of Oakland

- (b) In consultation with the port, the district shall develop a plan to reduce emissions from the Port of Oakland, then the board shall work with the district and the port of Oakland and submit the plan to the board. The board and the district shall work with the port in order to ensure that projects within the plan are completed or implemented to the maximum extent feasible. The board may provide funding to the district or the port in order to achieve the goals of the plan.
- (c) Projects eligible to be considered by the district include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (1) The replacement, repowering, or retrofitting of heavy-duty diesel vehicles that move cargo containers to and from the Port of Oakland, not otherwise required by any federal or state law or regulation.

SB 974 — 30—

(2) The replacement, repowering, or retrofitting of locomotive engines that move cargo containers to and from the Port of Oakland, not otherwise required by any federal or state law or regulation.

- (3) Funding through grants of the incremental cost of using a low-sulfur fuel, not otherwise required by statute or regulation, on ocean going vessels that carry cargo containers to and from the Port of Oakland.
- (4) The provision of mobile or portable shore side distributed power generation to ocean-going cargo container vessels that eliminates the need to use the electricity grid at the Port of Oakland, and that has been tested and verified by the board or a local air quality management district.
- (5) The electrification of infrastructure used within a marine terminal that handles cargo containers in the Port of Oakland.
- (6) The provision of shore side electrical power generation to ocean going cargo container vessels, moving cargo containers to and from the Port of Oakland, not otherwise required by any federal or state law or regulation.
- (7) Container cargo-handling equipment, handling cargo containers at the Port of Oakland, not otherwise required by any federal or state law or regulation.

(c)

(d) No later than September 1, 2008, the board, at a public hearing, shall-finalize approve a list of projects that meet the ERP's goals for 2010, 2015, and 2020, in order to meet federal air quality attainment standards. for 2010, 2015, and 2020 that are consistent with the plan developed pursuant to subdivision (c), to meet or maintain federal air quality standards. If the board has not approved a list of projects by September 1, 2008, the most recent list of projects submitted to the board by the district shall become the final list of projects.

(d)

(e) The board may determine, at a public hearing, that the emission reduction goals for 2020 have been met or exceeded and that projects that reduce emissions from the movement of cargo containers to and from the port and are designed to achieve federal air quality standards have been met within the Bay Area Air Quality Management District implemented, and once the determination is made, and ensuring that all approved projects

-31- SB 974

have been funded, the board shall notify the Port of Oakland of this determination, and the Port of Oakland shall no longer collect the one-half of the user fee for air quality projects meant to reach these goals and federal air quality attainment standards.

(e)

- (f) The board shall only use the funds received from the Northern California Port of Oakland Mitigation Fund to fund projects authorized pursuant to this section.
- (g) A project receiving funding pursuant to this section may also receive funding from other sources, including, but not limited to, local agencies, state sources, federal sources, and private sources.

Article 4. Financing Provisions

- 1760. (a) Pursuant to the authority of the San Pedro Bay Ports, the San Pedro Bay Ports may enter into financing agreements with participating parties for the purpose of financing or refinancing San Pedro Bay Ports congestion relief projects and San Pedro Ports mitigation relief projects.
- (b) As authorized, the San Pedro Bay Ports may issue bonds as San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds to finance or refinance San Pedro Bay Ports congestion relief projects and as San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds to finance or refinance San Pedro Bay Ports mitigation relief projects.
- (c) The principal of and interest and redemption premiums on San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds and San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds shall be payable from, and secured by, San Pedro Bay Ports congestion relief container fee revenue and San Pedro Bay Ports mitigation relief container fee revenue, respectively, as and to the extent provided in the constituent instruments defining the rights of the holders of the bonds.
- 1761. (a) Pursuant to the procedures in the City of Oakland City Charter, the Port of Oakland may enter into financing agreements with participating parties for the purpose of financing or refinancing Port of Oakland congestion relief projects and Port of Oakland mitigation relief projects.

SB 974 -32-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

(b) As authorized, the Port of Oakland may issue bonds as Port of Oakland Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds to finance or refinance Port of Oakland congestion relief projects and as Port of Oakland Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds to finance or refinance Port of Oakland mitigation relief projects.

(c) The principal of and interest and redemption premiums on Port of Oakland Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds and Port of Oakland Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds shall be payable from, and secured by, Port of Oakland congestion relief container fee revenue and Port of Oakland mitigation relief container fee revenue, respectively, as and to the extent provided in the constituent instruments defining the rights of the holders of the bonds.

1762. The San Pedro Bay Ports may pledge all or any portion of the San Pedro Bay Ports congestion relief container fees to secure San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, and credit facilities for these bonds, and all or any portion of the San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, and credit facilities for these bonds. All San Pedro Bay Ports congestion relief container fees and San Pedro Bay Ports mitigation relief container fees so pledged shall be paid to the indenture trustee for these bonds each month, from the San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Trust Fund and the San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Relief Trust Fund for so long as any of the bonds are outstanding. Any San Pedro Bay Ports congestion relief container fees and San Pedro Bay Ports mitigation relief container fees that are not required to be retained by the indenture trustee pursuant to the constituent instruments defining the rights of the holders of the bonds shall be remitted by the indenture trustee to the San Pedro Bay Ports Congestion Relief Trust Fund and the San Pedro Bay Ports Mitigation Relief Trust Fund and shall be disbursed at the request of the authority and the district, respectively, for San Pedro Bay Ports congestion relief projects and San Pedro Bay Ports mitigation relief projects.

1763. The Port of Oakland may pledge all or any portion of the Port of Oakland congestion relief container fees to secure Port of Oakland Congestion Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, and credit facilities for these bonds, and all or any portion of the Port of Oakland Mitigation Relief Container Fee Revenue Bonds, and __ 33 __ SB 974

- 1 credit facilities for these bonds. All Port of Oakland congestion
- 2 relief container fees and Port of Oakland mitigation relief
- 3 container fees so pledged shall be paid to the indenture trustee for
- 4 these bonds each month, from the Port of Oakland Congestion
- 5 Relief Trust Fund and the Port of Oakland Mitigation Relief Trust
- 6 Fund for so long as any of the bonds are outstanding. Any Port of
- 7 Oakland congestion relief container fees and Port of Oakland
- 8 mitigation relief container fees that are not required to be retained
- 9 by the indenture trustee pursuant to the constituent instruments
- 10 defining the rights of the holders of the bonds shall be remitted by
- 11 the indenture trustee to the Port of Oakland Congestion Relief
- 12 Trust Fund and the Port of Oakland Mitigation Relief Trust Fund
- 13 and shall be disbursed at the request of the commission and the
- 14 board, respectively, for Port of Oakland congestion relief projects
- 15 and Port of Oakland mitigation relief projects.

SEC. 4.

16

17

18

19

20

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

- SEC. 3. Section 1760 of the Harbors and Navigation Code is amended and renumbered to read:
- 1730. (a) For purposes of this section, "council" means the California Marine and Intermodal Transportation System Advisory
- 21 Council, a regional subunit of the Marine Transportation System
- 22 National Advisory Council chartered by the federal Secretary of
- 23 Transportation under the Federal Advisory Council Act (P.L.
- 24 92-463).
 - (b) The council is requested to do all of the following:
 - (1) Meet, hold public hearings, and compile data on issues that include, but need not be limited to, all of the following:
 - (A) The projected growth of each maritime port in the state.
 - (B) The costs and benefits of developing a coordinated state program to obtain federal funding for maritime port growth, security, and congestion relief.
 - (C) Impacts of maritime port growth on the state's transportation system.
 - (D) Air pollution caused by movement of goods through the state's maritime ports, and proposed methods of mitigating or alleviating that pollution.
 - (E) Maritime port security, including, but not limited to, training, readiness, certification of port personnel, exercise planning and conduct, and critical marine transportation system infrastructure protection.

SB 974 — 34 —

1

2 3

4

5

6

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

(F) A statewide plan for continuing operation of maritime ports in cooperation with the United States Coast Guard, the federal Department of Homeland Security, the Office of Emergency Services, the state Office of Homeland Security, and the California National Guard, consistent with the state's emergency management system and the national emergency management system, in the event of a major incident or disruption of port operations in one or more of the state's maritime ports.

- (G) State marine transportation policy, legislation, and planning; regional infrastructure project funding; competitiveness; environmental impacts; port safety and security; and any other matters affecting the marine transportation system of the United States within, or affecting, the state.
- (2) Identify all state agencies that are involved with the development, planning, or coordination of maritime ports in the state.
- (3) Identify other states that have a statewide port master plan and determine whether that plan has assisted those states in improving their maritime ports.
- (4) Compile all information obtained pursuant to paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, and submit its findings in a report to the Legislature not later than January 1, 2006. The report should include, but need not be limited to, recommendations on methods to better manage the growth of maritime ports and address the environmental impacts of moving goods through those ports.
- (c) The activities of the council pursuant to this section shall not be funded with appropriations from the General Fund.

SEC. 5.

SEC. 4. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution because a local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the program or level of service mandated by this act, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code.